

## SDNV108 – Hollow voluminal cylinder in rotation around its axis, taken into account of the gyroscopy

---

### Summary:

This test makes it possible to validate the calculation of the modes in rotation of a voluminal model with and without gyroscopic stiffness.

It is about a simple model of hollow roll, free-free in rotation around its axis. This example is drawn from the reference [1].

The results of calculations are compared with those obtained with ANSYS<sup>®</sup>. The results coincide perfectly with the reference solution.

## Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1 Problem of reference.....  | 3  |
| 1.1 Geometry.....  | 3  |
| 1.2 Material properties.....   | 3  |
| 1.3 Boundary conditions and loadings.....                                | 3  |
| 2 Reference solution.....  | 3  |
| 3 Modeling A.....  | 4  |
| 3.1 Characteristics of modeling.....                                     | 4  |
| 3.2 Characteristics of the grid.....                                     | 4  |
| 3.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code_Aster and ANSYS..... | 4  |
| 4 Modeling B.....  | 6  |
| 4.1 Characteristics of modeling.....                                     | 6  |
| 4.2 Characteristics of the grid.....                                     | 6  |
| 4.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code_Aster and ANSYS..... | 6  |
| 5 Modeling C.....  | 8  |
| 5.1 Characteristics of modeling.....                                     | 8  |
| 5.2 Characteristics of the grid.....                                     | 8  |
| 5.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code_Aster and ANSYS..... | 8  |
| 6 Summary of the results.....  | 10 |

## 1 Problem of reference

---

The objective of this case test is to validate gyroscopic modeling in 3D of a full cylinder (options MECA\_GYRO and RIGI\_ROTATION) in Code\_Aster.

One compares the results got by the modeling of Code\_Aster with those obtained in ANSYS.

### 1.1 Geometry

One considers a hollow roll length  $L=0,254\text{ m}$ , of ray  $R=0,09525\text{ m}$  and thickness  $E_p=0,03810\text{ m}$ .




Image 1.1-1: Geometry of the hollow roll

### 1.2 Material properties

The cylinder has a density of  $\rho=7860\text{ kg/m}^3$ .

The Young modulus is  $E=207.10^9\text{ N m}^{-2}$  and the Poisson's ratio is  $\nu=0,28$ .

### 1.3 Boundary conditions and loadings

The cylinder is in free-free configuration. It is with the stop or in rotation with 1000.2000 and 3000 tr/min.

## 2 Reference solution

---

The reference solution is a calculation 3D carried out with ANSYS V14.

1. ANSYS V14.

## 3 Modeling A

### 3.1 Characteristics of modeling

The rotor is modelled by linear voluminal elements (modeling '3D', MECA\_HEXA8).

CALC\_MODES calculate the modes suitable for stop (IE. without gyroscopic damping) and in rotation, IE. with gyroscopic damping (option MECA\_GYRO), but by taking account or not effect of softening by the stiffness centrifuges (option RIGI\_ROTA).

### 3.2 Characteristics of the grid

Many meshes HEXA8 896

Table 3.2-1

### 3.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code\_Aster and ANSYS

The table 3.3-1 give the digital values tested in this CAS-test. They is the Eigen frequencies of the cylinder in free-free configuration to the stop and 3000 tr/min.

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2627,2             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 2         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2627,6             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 3         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 3017,1             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 4         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 3017,3             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 5         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6276,1             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 6         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6276,1             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 7         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6327               | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6487,5             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 9         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6487,6             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 10        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6937,8             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 11        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6938,8             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 12        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7090,9             | 3,00%     |
| Mode 13        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7091               | 3,00%     |
| Mode 14        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7410,5             | 4,00%     |

Table 3.3-1: Summary of the results tested with the stop

The variation with the stop between the two models seems important (up to 6%). The explanation lies in the fact that under-just code ANSYS the linear voluminal elements. Code\_Aster not allowing the under-integration of the elements HEXA8, we used an exact integration. One cannot thus check if the variation decreases with these elements. On the other hand, Code\_Aster allows under-integration for the elements HEXA20 (cf modelings B and C).

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 53,42              | 1,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 100                | 1,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2588,8             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2666,6             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2982,4             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 3052,4             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6256,1             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6296,5             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6326,2             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6455,1             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 11        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6520,1             | 2,00%     |

**Table 3.3-2: Summary of the results tested in rotation without centrifugal softening**

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 1,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 1,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2588,4             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2666,1             | 6,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2982,1             | 4,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 3052               | 4,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6255,8             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6296,2             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 11        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6326               | 1,00%     |
| Mode 12        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6455               | 2,00%     |
| Mode 13        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6519,9             | 2,00%     |

**Table 3.3-3: Summary of the results tested in rotation with centrifugal softening**

## 4 Modeling B

### 4.1 Characteristics of modeling

The rotor is modelled by quadratic voluminal elements (modeling '3D', MECA\_HEX20).

CALC\_MODES calculate the modes suitable for stop (IE. without gyroscopic damping) and in rotation, IE. with gyroscopic damping (option MECA\_GYRO), but by taking account or not effect of softening by the stiffness centrifuges (option RIGI\_ROTA).

### 4.2 Characteristics of the grid

Many meshes HEXA20 112

Table 4.2-1

### 4.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code\_Aster and ANSYS

The table 4.3-1 give the digital values tested in this CAS-test. They is the Eigen frequencies of the cylinder in free-free configuration to the stop and 3000 tr/min.

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2562,08            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 2         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2562,08            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 3         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2962,72            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 4         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2962,72            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 5         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6231,34            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 6         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6231,34            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 7         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6313,73            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6420,42            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 9         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6420,42            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6658,75            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 11        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6658,75            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 12        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7040,85            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 13        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7040,85            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 14        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7159,02            | 3,00%     |

Table 4.3-1: Summary of the results tested with the stop (elements HEXA20)

The variation with the stop between the two models seems important (maximum variation of 3%). The explanation lies in the fact that under-just code ANSYS the quadratic voluminal elements (cf modeling C) whereas this modeling uses elements with an exact integration.

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 53,26              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 100                | 1,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2524,2             | 3,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2601,5             | 3,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2928,5             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2998,1             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6211,4             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6251,8             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6313               | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6388,2             | 1,00%     |

**Table 4.3-2: Summary of the results tested in rotation without centrifugal softening**

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2523,74            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2600,99            | 3,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2928,09            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2997,72            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6211,33            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6251,73            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 11        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6312,84            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 12        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6388,03            | 1,00%     |

**Table 4.3-3: Summary of the results tested in rotation with centrifugal softening**

## 5 Modeling C

### 5.1 Characteristics of modeling

The rotor is modelled by quadratic voluminal elements (modeling '3D\_SI', MECA\_HEXS20).

CALC\_MODES calculate the modes suitable for stop (IE. without gyroscopic damping) and in rotation, IE. with gyroscopic damping (option MECA\_GYRO), but by taking account or not effect of softening by the stiffness centrifuges (option RIGI\_ROTA).

### 5.2 Characteristics of the grid

Many meshes HEXS20 112

Table 5.2-1

### 5.3 Results: comparison enters calculations of Code\_Aster and ANSYS

The table 5.3-3 give the digital values tested in this CAS-test. They is the Eigen frequencies of the cylinder in free-free configuration to the stop and 3000 tr/min.

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2562,08            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 2         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2562,08            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 3         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2962,72            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 4         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 2962,72            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 5         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6231,34            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 6         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6231,34            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 7         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6313,73            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6420,42            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 9         | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6420,42            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6658,75            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 11        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 6658,75            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 12        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7040,85            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 13        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7040,85            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 14        | 'EXTERNAL'        | 7159,02            | 2,00%     |

Table 5.3-1: Summary of the results tested with the stop (elements HEXS20)

With under-integration, it is noted indeed that the difference between Code\_Aster and ANSYS decreases by 3% to 1.5% at the most.



| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 53,26              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 100                | 1,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2524,2             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2601,5             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2928,5             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2998,1             | 2,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6211,4             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6251,8             | 1,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6313               | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6388,2             | 1,00%     |

**Table 5.3-2: Summary of the results tested in rotation without centrifugal softening**

| Identification | Type of reference | Value of reference | Tolerance |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mode 1         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 2         | `EXTERNAL`        | 26,63              | 2,00%     |
| Mode 3         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 4         | `EXTERNAL`        | 50                 | 1,00%     |
| Mode 5         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2523,74            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 6         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2600,99            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 7         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2928,09            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 8         | `EXTERNAL`        | 2997,72            | 2,00%     |
| Mode 9         | `EXTERNAL`        | 6211,33            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 10        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6251,73            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 11        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6312,84            | 1,00%     |
| Mode 12        | `EXTERNAL`        | 6388,03            | 1,00%     |

**Table 5.3-3 : Summary of the results tested in rotation with centrifugal softening**

## 6 Summary of the results

---

The cas-test implements the rotation of a hollow roll around its axis. Modeling 3D of the gyroscopy programmed in Code\_Aster compared to the results got with the model are equivalent 3D is thus validated in ANSYS.