

Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 1/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision : f5ea66a0d467

Version

default

# SSLP311 - Central crack obliques in a finished rectangular plate, with two materials, subjected to uniform traction

#### Summary:

This test is resulting from the validation independent of version 3 in breaking process.

It is about a two-dimensional test in statics with bi--material in the presence of an internal crack of interface obliques.

The behavior of the structure (bi--material) is elastic linear isotropic.

The case test understands four modelings in plane constraints in which the influence of the slope of the crack  $\theta$  is studied (4 cases).

The calculation of the factors of intensity of the constraints is not available for a crack located at the interface of a bi--material; the comparison with the reference solution is thus done on the rate of refund of energy only, calculated with the operator <code>CALC\_G</code>.

Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan 
 Date : 15/10/2012
 Page : 2/9

 Clé : V3.02.311
 Révision
 :

 f5ea66a0d467

Version

### **1** Problem of reference

### 1.1 Geometry



4 values of the angle are considered  $\theta$  : 15°, 30°, 45° and 60°. Other dimensions are selected such as H=2W=4a. The value of *a* is worth 1.E-3m.

### 1.2 Properties of materials

#### Material n° 1

Rubber band, linear, isotropic, Young modulus  $E_1 = 2E + 12Pa$  and Poisson's ratio  $v_1 = 0,3$ .

#### Material n° 2

Rubber band, linear, isotropic, Young modulus  $E_2 = 2E + 11 Pa$  and Poisson's ratio  $v_2 = 0.3$ .

### **1.3 Boundary conditions and loading**

- The rigid modes are blocked by the boundary conditions following: UX = UY = 0 with the left lower corner of the model. UY = 0 on the lower edge.
- Loading: uniform tension  $\sigma_{\nu\nu} = \sigma_0$  on the higher edge.

The value of  $\sigma_0$  is 100 MPa.

Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan

Version

### 2 Reference solution

### 2.1 Method of calculating used for the reference solution

Method of the elements of border, with quadratic elements [bib1].

The calculation of  $K_I$  and  $K_{II}$  is carried out by an integral of contour (integral M [bib2]) in which intervene the constraints and displacements calculated in the part, as well as the constraints and displacements deduced from analytically definite solutions asymptotic, in which  $K_I$  and  $K_{II}$  are alternatively worthless.

As comparison, the calculation of K is also carried out by the method of virtual extension.

### 2.2 Results of reference

The results of the reference solution are presented in the table below, for the various values of the

angle and the two ends of the crack, with  $F_j = \frac{K_j}{\sigma_0 \sqrt{\pi a}}$  (j = I, II).

Method		Left side			Right side				
		$\theta = 15^{\circ}$	$\theta = 30^{\circ}$	$\theta = 45^{\circ}$	$\theta = 60^{\circ}$	$\theta = 15^{\circ}$	$\theta = 30^{\circ}$	$\theta = 45^{\circ}$	$\theta = 60^{\circ}$
integral	$F_{I}$	1.0115	0.7868	0.5211	0.2770	1.1266	0.9910	0.7646	0.4919
M	$F_{II}$	0.4434	0.6244	0.6723	0.5804	0.0862	0.2961	0.4056	0.4057
extension	$F_{I}$	1.0110	0.7864	0.5210	0.2769	1.1260	0.9904	0.7643	0.4919
virtual	$F_{II}$	0.4429	0.6240	<sup>~</sup> 0.6720	0.5801	0.0865	0.2960	0.4055	0.4056

The relation between the total rate of restitution of energy G and them  $K_j$  is written as follows [bib3]:

3-11

$$G = \beta \left( K_{I}^{2} + K_{II}^{2} \right)$$

with:

$$\kappa_{i} = \frac{5 - v_{i}}{1 + v_{i}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{16 C h^{2}(\alpha \pi)} \left( \frac{1 + \kappa_{1}}{\mu_{1}} + \frac{1 + \kappa_{2}}{\mu_{2}} \right) \text{ and } \qquad \mu_{i} = \frac{E_{i}}{2(1 + v_{i})}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\mu_{1}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\kappa_{2}}{\mu_{2}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{1}} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

### 2.3 Uncertainty on the solution

Estimated at less than 0.1%. It is noted that the difference between the method of the integrals of contour and the method of virtual extension is generally lower than 0,05%.

### 2.4 Bibliographical references

- Stress intensity Factor analysis of interface ace using boundary element method. Of application contour-integral method. NR. MIYAZAKI, T. IKEDA, T.SODA and T. MUNAKATA. Engng.Fract.Mechs., 45, n°5, 599-610, 1993.
- 2) Year analysis of interface aces between dissimilar isotropic materials using conservation integrals in elasticity. J.F. YAU and T.C. CHANG. Engng.Fract.Mechs., 20,423-432, 1984.

Warning : The translation process used on this website is a "Machine Translation". It may be imprecise and inaccurate in whole or in part and is provided as a convenience.

<sup>.</sup> Copyright 2017 EDF R&D - Licensed under the terms of the GNU FDL (http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/fdl.html)

*Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan*  Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 4/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision : f5ea66a0d467

Version

default

3) Adhesive The strength of joints using the theory of aces. B. Mr. MALYSHEV and R.L. SALGANIK. Int.J.Fract.Mech., 1,114-128, 1965.

*Titre :* SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 5/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision : f5ea66a0d467

### 3 Modeling A

### 3.1 Characteristics of modeling

Various modelings are identical except for the slope of the crack.



Complete grid for an angle  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ 

Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 6/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision f5ea66a0d467

Version

default



Zoom on the point of crack

The ray is worth 7.5E - 5m.

There are four crowns defined by the order  ${\tt CALC\_THETA}$  :

crown 1:	Rinf = 0.	$R \sup = 1.875 E - 5m$
crown 2:	Rinf = 1.875E - 5m	$R \sup = 3.750 E - 5m$
crown 3:	Rinf = 3.750E - 5m	$R \sup = 5.625 E - 5m$
crown 4:	Rinf = 5.625E - 5m	$R \sup = 7.500 E - 5m$

The direction of propagation is defined by:  $\cos \theta$ ,  $\sin \theta$ 

### 3.2 Characteristics of the grid

The grid consists of 10676 nodes and 4584 elements, including 1392 elements QUA8 and 3168 elements TRI6.

### 3.3 Features tested

The calculation of  $K_I$  and  $K_{II}$  is not valid for a bimatériau: the option CALC\_K\_G cannot be used and only the calculation of the rate of refund of energy is possible.

#### 3.4 Sizes tested and results

#### 3.4.1 Values tested

Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
Left end, $\theta = 15^{\circ}$			
G , crown 1	9,67362E+1	9,2428E+1	~ 4.45
G , crown 2	9,67362E+1	9,6392E+1	~ 0.356
G , crown 3	9,67362E+1	9,6417E+1	0.330
G , crown 4	9,67362E+1	9,6421E+1	0.326
K	5,6694E+6	-	-
K <sub>II</sub>	~ 2,4852E+6	-	-
Right end, $\theta = 15^{\circ}$			

Warning : The translation process used on this website is a "Machine Translation". It may be imprecise and inaccurate in whole or in part and is provided as a convenience.

. Copyright 2017 EDF R&D - Licensed under the terms of the GNU FDL (http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/fdl.html)

Titre : SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan

Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 7/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision f5ea66a0d467

Version

default

G , crown 1	1,0125E+2	9,6763E+1	<sup>~</sup> 4.33
G , crown 2	1,0125E+2	1,0093E+2	<sup>~</sup> 0.315
G , crown 3	1,0125E+2	1,0095E+2	0.295
G , crown 4	1,0125E+2	1,0095E+2	~ 0.291
K	6,3145E+6	-	-
	4,8309E+5	-	_

#### 3.4.2 Remarks

To obtain it G on the bottom of crack, one calculates the rate of refund of energy using the relation enters G and them  $K_i$  [bib3]:

 $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 2.076923$   $\mu_1 = 7.6923 E + 11$   $\mu_2 = 7.6923 E + 10$   $\alpha = -9.37742 E - 2$   $\beta = 2.524488 E - 12$  $G = \beta (K_1^2 + K_{II}^2)$ 

*Titre :* SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 8/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision f5ea66a0d467

### 4 Modeling B

### 4.1 Values tested

Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
Left end, $\theta = 30^{\circ}$			
G , crown 1	8,0017E+1	7,6431E+1	4.48
G , crown 2	8,0017E+1	7,9707E+1	~ 0.387
G , crown 3	8,0017E+1	7,9730E+1	~ 0.358
G , crown 4	8,0017E+1	7,9734E+1	0.353
	4,4100E+6	-	-
	~ 3,499E+6	-	-
Right end, $\theta = 30^{\circ}$			
G , crown 1	8,48417E+1	8,1080E+1	~ 4.433
G , crown 2	8,48417E+1	8,4583E+1	~ 0.305
G , crown 3	8,48417E+1	8,4602E+1	~ 0.282
G , crown 4	8,48417E+1	8,4602E+1	0.282
	5,5545E+6	-	-
	1,6596E+6	-	-

### 5 Modeling C

### 5.1 Values tested

Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
Left end, $\theta = 45^{\circ}$			
<i>G</i> , crown 1	5,73826E+1	5,48161E+1	~ 4.473
G , crown 2	5,73826E+1	5,71687E+1	~ 0.373
G , crown 3	5,73826E+1	5,71865E+1	<sup>~</sup> 0.342
G , crown 4	5,73826E+1	5,7189E+1	0.337
	2,92076E+6	-	-
K <sub>II</sub>	~ 3,7682E+6	-	-
Right end, $\theta = 45^{\circ}$			
<i>G</i> , crown 1	5,94122E+1	5,7039E+1	~ 3.994
G , crown 2	5,94122E+1	5,9505E+1	0.157
G , crown 3	5,94122E+1	5,9516E+1	0.175
G , crown 4	5,94122E+1	5,9518E+1	0.179
K	4,28557E+6	-	-
K	2,27338E+6	-	-

Warning : The translation process used on this website is a "Machine Translation". It may be imprecise and inaccurate in whole or in part and is provided as a convenience. Copyright 2017 EDF R&D - Licensed under the terms of the GNU FDL (http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/fdl.html)

*Titre :* SSLP311 - Biblio\_65. Fissure centrale oblique dans[...] Responsable : TRAN Van Xuan

Date : 15/10/2012 Page : 9/9 Clé : V3.02.311 Révision f5ea66a0d467

### 6 Modeling D

### 6.1 Values tested

Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
Left end, $\theta = 60^{\circ}$			
G , crown 1	3,28015E+1	3,10680E+1	5.285
G , crown 2	3,28015E+1	3,24037E+1	<sup>~</sup> 1.213
G , crown 3	3,28015E+1	3,24140E+1	<sup>~</sup> 1.181
G , crown 4	3,28015E+1	3,24156E+1	<sup>~</sup> 1.177
K	1,55258E+6	_	_
<i>K</i> <sub>11</sub>	~ 3,2531E+6	_	_
Right end, $\theta = 60^{\circ}$			
G , crown 1	3,22436E+1	3,11825E+1	~ 3.291
G , crown 2	3,22436E+1	3,25321E+1	0.895
G , crown 3	3,22436E+1	3,25383E+1	0.914
G , crown 4	3,22436E+1	3,25398E+1	0.919
K	2,75709E+6	-	-
K <sub>II</sub>	2,27394E+6	-	-

### 7 Summary of the results

The calculation of  $K_I$  and  $K_{II}$  is not available for a crack located at the interface of a bimatériau, and the comparison is thus done directly on the rate of refund of energy G.

The calculation of *G* is not precise on the first crown in all the cases of slope of the crack, which confirms that it is necessary to avoid taking a ray *Rinf* no one. With regard to the other crowns, the variations are about 0.4%. In the case of slope  $\theta = 60^{\circ}$  the variation exceeds 1%. As a whole, the results are satisfactory for *G*.