

## Management of the errors in parallel MPI

---

### Summary:

One provides in this document the details of implementation concerning the management of the errors at the time as of parallel executions MPI.

## 1 Operation

---

The parallel operation on different processes (using MPI) requires a particular treatment in the event of error.

Indeed, if nothing is done, if an error does not occur on all the processors at the same time, i.e. between the two same communications, a processor stops and the others indefinitely expect it the following communication until stop CPU and loss of all calculation.

This particular treatment consists in checking before engaging a total communication that all the processors are with go and in which state they are (they transmitted an error message or not).

- If they all are to go and that none met an error, one continues while proceeding to the communication envisaged.
- If they all are to go but that at least a processor transmitted an error message, one asks all the processors to stop as usual (while raising an exception).

The behavior is then the same one as into sequential: error <S> (exception) and thus safeguard of the files of the base.

- So at least one of the processors is not with go (within an agreed time), it is that this processor is blocked on a task much longer than on the other processors, or in an infinite loop, a programming error, or it left brutally.

In this case, one is obliged to stop the execution of the remaining processors brutally. The base should not be saved.

Moreover, one makes a communication in `END` to recover the number of alarms emitted (and not been unaware of) by each processor. For the diagnosis, made on the processor #0, one emits an alarm which gives simply the number of alarms emitted by processor.

This avoids "to flunk" an alarm which would have occurred only on one processor.

## 2 Details of implementation

---

### Notice

*This paragraph consists of notes of development and should make it possible in an external eye to understand how that was done.*

### 2.1 Total state of the execution

It is necessary to store the state of the processors to try to stop a maximum of calculations properly.

One must store: ok/error, to separate proc #0/autres

Functions necessary:

- to say that all is ok everywhere.
- to say that an error was seen on proc #0 or others.
- to know if all is ok.
- to know if error about proc #0 or others.

The state is stored in one `COMMON` and two routines exist to question (`GTSTAT`, for *get status*) and to affect the state (`STSTAT`, for *set status*). One uses constants to simplify the reading (see `aster_constant.h`).

Contents of `aster_constant.h`:

```
#define ST_OK 0
```

```
#define ST_AL_PRO 1 /* alarm one processor #0 *  
#define ST_AL_OTH 2 /* alarm one another processor *  
#define ST_ER_PRO 4 /* error one processor #0 *  
#define ST_ER_OTH 8 /* error one another processor *  
#define ST_UN_OTH 16 /* undefined status for another processor *
```

One uses logical operations bit bit to store and know if a state is positioned.

## 2.2 Communications not blocking

The key to detect that certain processors do not answer go is to use communications MPI not blocking.

The starting point of the specific treatment is in `u2mesg` during the emission of the error message.

### 2.2.1 `u2mesg`

In the event of error, one prevents the proc #0 while calling `mpicmw ()`. Idem in `Utmesg.py`, while calling, `aster_core.mpi_warn ()`.

### 2.2.2 `mpisst`

Sending with the proc #0 `ST_OK` or `ST_ER` (`MPI_ISEND` tag `CHK`, nonblocking send) and expects the answer of proc #0 (`MPI_IRECV` tag `CNT`, nonblocking receive). One puts a time-out not to wait indefinitely. If the proc #0 does not answer within the time, one calls `MPI_Abort` via `mpistp (1)`.

If one sent `ST_OK`, one wants to know if one must continue or not.

If one sent `ST_ER`, right knowledge is wanted if the proc #0 answers (in this case one stops properly), if not one must stop the execution.

If not time-out, one turns over the answer of proc #0: `ST_OK` (all is well), `ST_ER` (to make a clean stop).

### 2.2.3 `mpicmw`

To alert the proc #0 that a problem was encountered

- On `proc! = 0`, one position `ST_ER_OTH` (error on a processor other than #0) and one sends `ST_ER` with the proc #0 with `mpisst (ST_ER)`.

The answer of proc #0 is `ST_ER`, one continues as into sequential (exception, closing of the bases or abort).

- On proc #0, one positions `ST_ER_PRO` (error specific to the proc #0) and one calls `mpichk ()`.

### 2.2.4 `mpichk`

Called before making a total communication to check that all is well and if not to act consequently.

- On `proc! = 0`, one send `ST_OK` with the proc #0 with `mpisst (ST_OK)` and one expects the answer of the proc #0 to know if one must continue or stop.

If the proc #0 answers that the execution should be stopped, one calls `mpistp (2)`.

- On proc #0, one expects the answer of all the other processors (`MPI_IRECV` tag `CHK` nonblocking receive) + a time-out not to wait indefinitely.

- If a proc met an error (and thus sent `ST_ER`), message “error on the proc #i” + `STSTAT (ST_ER_OTH)`.
- If one of the procs does not answer within the time, message “the proc #0 waited too much” and error ‘E’ “the processor #i did not answer” + `STSTAT (ST_UN_OTH)`.
- To the processors present with go, one answers ‘to continue’ or ‘to stop’ (`MPI_SEND` tag `CNT`, blocking send). In the event of error on the proc #0, one sends to stop. To stop, one invites `mpistp (2)`.
- If one of the processors were not with go, the proc #0 stops the execution with `MPI_Abort` : one calls `mpistp (1)`.

`mpichk` provides a code return: 0 = ok, 1 = nook.

## 2.2.5 mpistp

Used to stop the execution.

- `mpistp (2)`: all the processors communicated their state, one can thus stop the execution properly with `u2mess ('Me, 'APPELMPI_95')`. If an exception were already raised by `u2mess ('F' or ')` precedent, it is necessary to avoid the recursivity and not to raise another exception. If no error were already emitted, the behavior is that of an error ‘F’ ordinary.
- `mpistp (1)`: at least a processor did not answer (perhaps the proc #0), one must stop everyone including this one which does not answer. One is emitted `u2mess ('Of, 'APPELMPI_99')` who prints the message with ‘F’ (for the diagnosis) but does not emit exception -- what would cause a disconnection, and the continuation would thus not be carried out -- then one calls `JEFINI ('ERROR')` to start `MPI_Abort`.
- if `ERREUR_F='ABORT'`, `mpistp (2)` becomes `mpistp (1)`.
- One should not carry out instruction after a call to `mpistp (2)`, to make `GOTO` end of routine when one calls `mpichk ()`.

## 2.2.6 mpiem1/mpiem2

Before beginning a communication, one calls `mpichk ()` to check that there no was problem. To take account of the code return and to stop without making the communication!

## 2.2.7 jefini/MPI\_Abort

Instead of stopping with `ABORT ()`, one calls `ASABRT (6)` (6 corresponds to `SIGABRT`) who calls `MPI_Abort`.

It is essential to call `MPI_Abort` to be able to stop everyone, including the blocked processors. However `MPI_Abort` imply the end of the script launched by `mpirun` and thus the copy of the results of the repertoire of the proc #0 towards the total repertoire will not be able to take place (finally, that can depend on implementation MPI).

Thus “error in MPI” must involve “pas de bases saved” and in the event of error, the diagnosis is likely not to be very detailed (according to the implementation MPI, the files `fort.8/fort.9` are or are not copied in the repertoire of total work). The diagnosis is likely to be `<F>_ABNORMAL_ABORT` instead of `<F>_ERROR`.

## 2.2.8 Additional notes, precautions

***MPI\_Abort the execution did not stop.***

In MPI, it is necessary that the processors pass all by `MPI_Finalize` before leaving. However in the interpreter Python, one leaves by `'sys.exit ()'` which probably calls the function `system'exit'` and thus one cannot add call to `MPI_Finalize` before leaving. This is why, one records a function which carries out `MPI_Finalize` via `'atexit'`. The problem is that this function is also called after one `MPI_Abort`. The execution is thus blocked without stopping all the processors. A function is thus defined `ASABRT` who makes `'abort'` or `MPI_Abort` in parallel and which positions a flag not to pass by `MPI_Finalize` in the function `'terminate'` (cf `"aster_error.c/h"`).

### Precaution for calls FORTRAN since C

Since one calls routines FORTRAN since C, knowing that almost all the routines are likely to emit `u2mess` and thus of raising exceptions, it is imperative that the extension C of the module (`aster` or `aster_core`) one envisages `try/except` (in C) to treat this exception (and to turn over `NO ONE` in the event of error).

Indeed, the exception causes a disconnection of the execution. If there is not `try`, one is likely not to be replugged where one believes. A programming error would alert if none `try` was not set up more high.

Example:

```
static PyObject* aster_mpi_warn (PyObject *self, PyObject
*arguments)
{
    try {
        CALL_MPICMW ();
    }
    exceptAll {
        raiseException ();
    }
    endTry ();
    Py_INCREF (Py_None);
    return Py_None;
}
```

## 2.3 Values of the deadlines

They is the times granted to the latecomers at the time of the communications not blocking.

Difference between two processors:

```
#0 =====|t0|..... |Ti|...
#i =====|Ti|...
```

$[t_i - t_0]$  : time granted by #0 to the processors #i. Thus if #1 arrives before #0, it must grant the same time to him:  $t_0 - t_1 = t_i - t_0$ .

The extreme case is:

```
#0 =====|t0|~~~~~|Ti|.v=====
                ^           ^   v
#1 =====|T1|..... ^~~~~~^~~~~v=====
                ^
#i =====|Ti|||tf|=====
```

- \*  $t1$  : arrival of the first processor #1
- \*  $t0$  : arrived from #0, #0 receives CHK of #1
- \*  $t0 + dt$  : #1 expects the answer of #0
- \*  $t_i$  : arrived from #i, #0 receives CHK of #i, #0 sends CNT with #1 and #i
- \*  $t_i + dt = t_f$  : #1 and #i receive CNT of #0

It is necessary thus that  $t_f - t0 > t_i - t0$ . One limits the time of reception of the answer of #0 to  $1.2 \times [t_i - t0]$

**The value of time-out is fixed at 20% of remaining time CPU.**